

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s) : Richard M. Edwards *et al.*
Appln. No. : 10/719,871
Filed : November 21, 2003
Title : MEDIA SELECTION METHODS IN A MULTI-MEDIA
PRINTER UTILIZING PRINT CLIENT INDICATORS

Conf. No. : 3053
Art Unit : 2625
Examiner : Allen H. Nguyen

Customer No. : 00116
Docket No. : CODO-45537

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Sir:

A telephone interview was conducted on July 22, 2010 between Examiner Allen H. Nguyen and patent counsel for the Applicants, Donald J. Firca, Jr.

During the interview the “final” status of the action was discussed. Specifically, Applicants’ previous arguments in the previous Office action were sufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 17 without an amendment of that claim. The currently-outstanding Office action asserts new grounds of rejection for claim 17, which were not necessitated by Applicants, render the finality of the current action improper.

During the interview the Examiner agreed to enter the forthcoming response to the current action without requiring a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and fee.

Respectfully submitted,
PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

By: /donald j. firca, jr./
Donald J. Firca, Jr. – Reg. No. 48,140

1801 East 9th Street
Suite 1200
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108
(216) 579-1700

Date: July 22, 2010